Decision Number: 39 (2014/15)

# **Portfolio Holder Executive Decision Statement**

The Local Authority (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

## Subject: Big Community Fund Appraisal November 2014

**Details of Decision taken:** One application to the Big Community Fund to receive funding to enable projects to proceed as set out in the applications, as follows:

Crockenhill & Well Hill ward £ 1,000

#### Reason for Decision

- Cabinet, on 21 July 2011 approved a new grant scheme, entitled the Big Community Fund. Currently, £ 25,174.29 remains in the fund. A maximum of £10,000 can be allocated in any one month.
- The November 2014 round closed on 3 November 2014 and the applications received were appraised on 19 November 2014, using an agreed appraisal template and decision-making form.
- Members present recommended that one project, from Crockenhill & Well Hill ward should receive a total of £ 1,000.
- One application from Brasted, Chevening & Sundridge ward, was not recommended to receive funding, as the proposed works was deemed to be the responsibility of another agency.

#### All Documents considered:

Big Community Fund applications from Crockenhill & Well Hill and Brasted, Chevening & Sundridge wards

Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the Member when making the Decision:

#### None

### Financial implications

The Big Community Fund total stands at £ 25,174.29. With the allocations from the November 2014 round, the fund will have £ 24,174.29 remaining for future applications. The funding will be allocated to applications until it is exhausted. There are no plans to put further funding into the Big Community Fund.

**Legal Implications and Risk Assessment Statement** 

There are no legal implications from this decision.

**Equality Impacts** (Consideration of impacts under the Public Sector Equality Duty)

Decision Number: 39 (2014/15)

Question		Answer	Explanation / Evidence
	Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to disadvantage or discriminate against different groups in the community?  Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have the potential to promote equality of	No Yes	The projects supported by this decision will make improvements to local communities and make a positive contribution to Equalities:  Making alterations to the Village Hall at Crockenhill will help make the Parish Office more accessible.
C.	opportunity? What steps can be taken to		No mitigations required
	mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		

Local Member (s), other Portfolio Holders and/or Directors/Heads of Service Consulted

Members of the Appraisal Panel: Cllrs Clark, Mrs Cook, Mrs Morris, Mrs Purves, Searles and Walshe

Details of any conflicts of interest

a) declared by any executive member who is consulted by the Decision Taker

### None

b) and any details of dispensations granted by the Chief Executive in respect of any declared conflict

#### None

Decision taken by:	Portfolio Holder for Economic & Community Development	
Signed by Portfolio Holder		
Date of Decision	27/11/14	
Record made by:	George Lewis	
Date of record:	1 December 2014	